RTI $(h)(s)^{s}$ Health Solutions

A Comparison of Frequentist and Bayesian Meta-analyses of Risk Factors for Respiratory Syncytial Virus Hospitalization in Preterm Infants

Shaun Abeysinghe,¹ Jean-Gabriel Le Moine,¹ Andrea Margulis,² Josephine Mauskopf³

¹RTI Health Solutions, Manchester, United Kingdom; ²RTI Health Solutions, Barcelona, Spain; ³RTI Health Solutions, Research Triangle Park, NC, United States

BACKGROUND

- Typically, direct meta-analyses (DMAs) are performed using frequentist methods; however, these methods are generally considered to be less robust than Bayesian techniques at accounting for trial heterogeneity.^{1,2}
- The statistical heterogeneity that characterizes meta-analyses is driven by within-study and betweenstudy variance.
- Fixed-effects models account only for within-study variation while, in principle, random-effects models recognize both types of heterogeneity.
- Bayesian random-effects models are superior to frequentist random-effects models with respect to estimating between-study variance, because they do not ignore the imprecision of the variance estimates.¹
- Acute lower respiratory tract infection caused by the respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a major cause of childhood morbidity throughout the world.³
- A frequentist DMA indicated that in otherwise healthy preterm infants (32-35 weeks' gestation age [WGA]) there are two important risk factors for an RSV hospitalization:
 - Birth immediately prior to, or soon after, the start of the RSV season (age)
 - Presence of school-age siblings (siblings)

OBJECTIVE

• The objective of this study was to perform a Bayesian sensitivity analysis to assess the robustness of a conventional frequentist DMA that assessed age and siblings as risk factors for RSV infection requiring hospitalization in preterm infants.

METHODS

- A systematic literature review was conducted to identify clinical studies reporting risk factors for RSV hospitalizations in otherwise healthy preterm infants (32-35 WGA) who had not received RSV prophylaxis.⁴
- A frequentist DMA of odds ratios for age and siblings was conducted using fixed- and random-effects models.
- To assess the reliability of the frequentist results, a Bayesian meta-analysis was performed.
- Trial heterogeneity was investigated using forest plots, Cochrane Q heterogeneity tests, and Higgins' I².



Figure 1. Forest Plots of Hospitalization Due to RSV Infection: Odds Ratios for Age and Siblings Risk Factors

^a Birth immediately prior to, or soon after, the start of the RSV season.

^b Presence of school-age siblings.

LIMITATIONS

- The evidence base comprised only observational study data, rather than randomized controlled trial evidence. Observational data are more likely to be affected by bias due to underlying unknown confounders.
- Higgins' I² and Cochrane Q, which were used to assess trial heterogeneity, were unreliable for detecting heterogeneity due to the small number of studies included in the DMA.^{10,11}

RESULTS

- Five observational studies included data suitable for meta-analysis for age or sibling risk factors (or both). Four were cohort studies,⁵⁻⁸ and one was a case-control study (Table 1).⁹
- Trial heterogeneity was low for all risk factors (Q P value > 0.05 and l^2 < 20%) (Table 2).
- Age and sibling frequentist fixed- and random-effects model estimates were significant at the 95% level (95% confidence interval [CI] > 1) (Figure 1, Table 2).
- Bayesian model estimates for these risk factors were also significant (Figure 1, Table 2).
- Although frequentist and Bayesian estimates were highly consistent for fixed effects, they were not consistent for random effects; the Bayesian 95% CIs were wider (Figure 1, Table 2).

Table 1. Study Characteristics and Data for Age and Siblings Risk Factors

Reference	Study Design RSV Seasons ROB Score ^a No. of Preterm Infants in Target Population	RSV Hospital Cases/ Nonhospital Controls	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	
Trial Acronym Country			Age ^b	Siblings ^c
Ambrose et al., 2014 REPORT United States	Prospective cohort 2009-2011 ROB score: 1.9 N = 1,642 (< 36 WGA)	57/1,585	Not available	1.91 (1.13-3.24)₫
Blanken et al., 2013 RISK The Netherlands	Prospective cohort 2008-2011 ROB score: 1.6 N = 2,421 (32 to < 36 WGA)	129/2,292	2.60 (1.61-4.21)	4.70 (1.69-13.05)°
Figueras-Aloy et al., 2004 FLIP Spain	Case control 2002-2003 ROB score: 1.9 N = 557 (33-35 WGA)	186/371	3.95 (2.65-5.89)	2.85 (1.88-4.33)
Figueras-Aloy et al., 2009 FLIP-2 Spain	Prospective cohort 2005-2007 ROB score: 1.6 N = 4,761 (32-35 WGA)	193/4,568	2.95 (2.19-3.97)	2.07 (1.54-2.79) ^e
Law et al., 2004 No trial acronym Canada	Prospective cohort 2000-2002 ROB score: 2.0 N = 1,758 (33-35 WGA)	66/1,692	4.88 (2.57-9.28)	2.76 (1.51-5.04)

ROB = risk of bias.

^a Average score over 11 questions using RTI item bank scale, where 0 indicates maximum ROB and 2 indicates no ROB for each question; ROB characterized as low (1.6-2.0), medium (1.0-1.5), and high (0.0-0.9).

 $^{\rm b}\mbox{Birth}$ immediately prior to, or soon after, the start of the RSV season.

^c Presence of school-age siblings.

^d Result reported as a hazard ratio.

^e Siblings reported as a composite risk factor combining presence of school-age siblings with index infant attendance at day care.

Table 2. Model Estimates and Heterogeneity Tests of Hospitalization Due to RSV Infection: OddsRatios for Age and Siblings Risk Factors

		Fixed-Effects Model	Random-Effects Model	Heterogeneity	
Risk Factor	Analysis	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	l² (%)	Cochrane Q <i>P</i> Value
Ageª	Frequentist	3.27 (2.67-4.00)	3.30 (2.62-4.17)	40	0.30
	Bayesian	3.27 (2.67-4.01)	3.33 (1.84-6.34)	18	
Siblings ^b	Frequentist	2.35 (1.92-2.88)	2.36 (1.92-2.91)		0.38
	Bayesian	2.35 (1.92-2.88)	2.43 (1.66-3.97)	4	

- Covariate adjustments were not performed to account for clinical and methodological differences between studies. However, there was no evidence of substantial trial heterogeneity for any of the risk factors.
- The composite risk factor combining presence of school-age siblings with index infant attendance at day care reported in Blanken et al., 2013⁶ and Figueras-Aloy et al., 2009⁷ was assumed to be a proxy for the presence of school-age siblings.
- The hazard ratio reported in Ambrose et al., 2014^5 was assumed to be a proxy for the odds ratio.

CONCLUSIONS

- This study provides additional evidence that birth close to the start of the RSV season and the presence of school-age siblings are risk factors for RSV infection requiring hospitalization for otherwise healthy preterm infants.
- Meta-analyses are typically characterized by considerable between-study variation; consequently, a Bayesian random-effects model is more likely to accurately reflect the true relative effect than a frequentist random-effects model or any type of fixed-effects model.
- The wider CIs of the Bayesian random-effects results indicate that the frequentist approach is likely to be underestimating the variance of the risk factor relative effects.
- Comparison of frequentist and Bayesian random-effects model estimates should be undertaken in other DMA studies to further explore the underestimation of uncertainty by frequentist methods.
- Frequentist DMA, particularly when based on small numbers of trials, should be validated by Bayesian DMA to ensure the robustness of the results.

REFERENCES

- 1. Thompson SG. Why sources of heterogeneity in meta-analysis should be investigated. BMJ. 1994 Nov 19;309(6965):1351-5.
- 2. Smith TC, Spiegelhalter DJ, Thomas A. Bayesian approaches to random effects metaanalysis: a comparative study. Stat Med. 1995 Dec 30;14(24):2685-99.
- 3. Nair H, Nokes DJ, Gessner BD, Dherani M, Madhi SA, Singleton RJ, et al. Global burden of acute lower respiratory infections due to respiratory syncytial virus in young children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2010 May 1;375(9725):1545-55.
- 4. Mauskopf J, Margulis AV, Samuel M, Lohr K. Respiratory syncytial virus hospitalizations in healthy preterm infants: Systematic review. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2016 Apr 18 [Epub ahead of print].
- 5. Ambrose CS, Anderson EJ, Simões EA, Wu X, Elhefni H, Park CL, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus disease in preterm infants in the U.S. born at 32-35 weeks gestation not receiving immunoprophylaxis. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2014 Jun;33(6):576-82.
- 6. Blanken MO, Koffijberg H, Nibbelke EE, Rovers MM, Bont L; Dutch RSV Neonatal Network. Prospective validation of a prognostic model for respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis in late preterm infants: a multicenter birth cohort study. PLoS One. 2013;8(3):e59161.
- Figueras-Aloy J, Quero-Jiménez J, Fernández-Colomer B, Guzmán-Cabañas J, Echaniz-Urcelay I, Doménech-Martínez E; Grupo IRIS. Usefulness of different risk factor associations in predicting admissions due to respiratory syncytial virus in premature newborns of 32 to 35 weeks gestation in Spain [in Spanish]. An Pediatr (Barc). 2009 Jul;71(1):47-53.
- 8. Law BJ, Langley JM, Allen U, Paes B, Lee DS, Mitchell I, et al. The Pediatric Investigators Collaborative Network on Infections in Canada study of predictors of hospitalization for respiratory syncytial virus infection for infants born at 33 through 35 completed weeks of gestation. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2004 Sep;23(9):806-14.
- 9. Figueras-Aloy J, Carbonell-Estrany X, Quero J; IRIS Study Group. Case-control study of the risk factors linked to respiratory syncytial virus infection requiring hospitalization in premature infants born at a gestational age of 33-35 weeks in Spain. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2004 Sep;23(9):815-20.
- 10. Hardy RJ, Thompson SG. Detecting and describing heterogeneity in meta-analysis. Stat Med. 1998 Apr 30;17(8):841-56.
- 11. Von Hippel P. The heterogeneity statistic I² can be biased in small meta-analyses. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2015 Apr 14;15:35.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Shaun Abeysinghe

Senior Director, Data Analytics and Design Strategy

RTI Health Solutions

^a Birth immediately prior to, or soon after, the start of the RSV season.

^b Presence of school-age siblings.

2nd Floor, The Pavillion Towers Business Park Wilmslow Road, Didsbury Manchester, M20 2LS, United Kingdom

Phone: +44(0)161.447.6006 E-mail: sabeysinghe@rti.org



Presented at: ISPOR 21st Annual International Meeting, May 21-25, 2016, Washington, DC, United States