Study of the <u>A</u>ssociation of Uterine <u>P</u>erforation and IUD <u>Ex</u>pulsion With Breastfeeding and Postpartum Timing at <u>IUD</u> Insertion (APEX IUD)— Study Size Estimation to Actuality

Catherine W Saltus,¹ Jennifer Gatz,² Mary E Ritchey,³ Laura E Ichikawa,⁴ Mary Anne Armstrong,⁵ Amy L Alabaster,⁵ Maqdooda Merchant,⁵ Richard Lynen,⁶ Alex Asiimwe,⁷ Jiaxiao M Shi,⁸ Darios Getahun,⁸ Fagen Xie,⁸ Vicki Y Chiu,⁸ Mary S Anthony³

RTI Health Solutions



Department of Research & Evaluation Southern California KAISER PERMANENTE®

Kaiser Permanente Washington Health Research Institute KAISER PERMANENTE® DIVISION oF RESEARCH Northern California

¹RTI Health Solutions, Waltham, MA,

United States; ²Regenstrief Institute, Indianapolis, IN, United States; ³RTI

Health Solutions, Research Triangle

United States; ⁵Kaiser Permanente Northern California, Oakland, CA,

United States; ⁶Bayer HealthCare,

⁷Bayer AG, Berlin, Germany; ⁸Kaiser

Permanente Southern California, Pasadena, CA, United States

Whippany, NJ, United States;

Permanente Washington, Seattle, WA,

Park, NC, United States; ⁴Kaiser



DISCLOSURES

RTI Health Solutions, Kaiser Permanente Northern California (KPNC), Kaiser Permanente Southern California (KPSC), Kaiser Permanente Washington (KPWA), and Regenstrief Institute (RI) received funding from Bayer AG to conduct this research. The contracts between the research sites and Bayer AG include independent publication rights. The authors had the final decision on the content of this poster.

BACKGROUND

- The Food and Drug Administration required a study to determine the extent to which postpartum timing and breastfeeding at the time of intrauterine device (IUD) insertion practices in the United States (US) are associated with risk of uterine perforation and IUD expulsion (APEX IUD).
- Based on the literature, the cumulative rate of IUD expulsion over 12 months of follow-up in a postpartum cohort is between 87 and 113 per 1,000 women.¹ Uterine perforation, however, is a much more uncommon event: 1.3 cases occur per 1,000 IUD insertions, based on a prospective European cohort of 61,448 women.² Therefore, adequate study size to assess uterine perforation was critical for study feasibility.
- Prior to the APEX IUD study, a validation study was conducted to ensure adequate study size, availability of breastfeeding data, and validation of algorithms for outcome variables in 4 US health care systems (2 Kaiser Dermanants)

Figure 2. Timeline



RESULTS

 Based on results from the validation study, the projected number of women in the IUD cohort was 264,706. The

Figure 3. Process for Study Size Estimation

D	Cohort – Validation Study	
	N = 218 959	

IU

variables in 4 US health care systems (3 Kaiser Permanente sites—Northern California, Southern California, and Washington state—and RI in Indiana.³ The validation study data accrual ended on September 30, 2015.

OBJECTIVE

• We present the approach to estimating study size and the estimated and actual study sizes in the APEX IUD study.

METHODS

- The validation study cohort included women aged ≤ 50 years at IUD insertion with a minimum of 12 months enrollment in the health care system. The postpartum cohort included women who delivered ≤ 52 weeks before the IUD insertion date. The breastfeeding cohort included women in the postpartum cohort who had information on breastfeeding status at the time of IUD insertion (Figure 1).
- The start of patient inclusion in the APEX IUD study varied by site between January 1, 2001, and January 1, 2009, (Figure 2) and was determined by the later of: (1) the date when Mirena was approved; or (2) the date when electronic health records (EHRs) were implemented in the 4 health care systems—KPNC, KPSC, RI, and KPWA.
 - Breastfeeding data became available at varying times for each site (Figure 2).
 - The latest date for a patient to be included in the study population for all sites was April 30, 2018, 2 months before the end date of the data collection (June 30, 2018).
- Projections to estimate APEX IUD study size involved:
 - Including 31 additional months of patient accrual beyond the validation study time frame.
 - Based on the validation study's results, estimating that:
 - 30% would have an IUD inserted within 52 weeks postpartum, and that 90% of those with an IUD insertion within 52 weeks postpartum, and
 - 90% of those with an IUD insertion within 52 weeks postpartum would have breastfeeding data (percentages from the validation study were projected by site).
- The estimated and actual numbers of women in these categories are presented.

Figure 1. Study Cohort

IUD Cohort

Minimum of 12 months enrollment in the health care system prior to IUD insertion

Aged \leq 50 years at IUD insertion

No evidence of a pregnancy ● delivery ≤ 52 weeks before IUD insertion projected number for those with insertion within 52 weeks postpartum was 79,412 and for those with breastfeeding status available was 71,471 (Figure 3).

- The actual numbers of women who were included were 326,658, 97,824, 93,897, respectively, approximately 25% higher than estimated (see Figure 4).
- The higher than estimated numbers of women were due, in part, to an increase in IUD use during the additional 31 months of data accrual included in the APEX IUD study time frame.



Figure 4. Projected and Actual Numbers of Women in the APEX IUD Study



^a Data loss includes incomplete data and trimming during analysis. Note: Data for first insertion only.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

- The actual numbers of women in the APEX IUD study were higher than estimated based on our projections from the validation study.
- Accounting for greater than linear increase in use of IUDs over time, rather than a linear increase, could have improved the projections.
- The projections correctly indicated that the study would be feasible.

REFERENCES

CONTACT INFORMATION

Postpartum Cohort

Delivered pregnancy ≤ 52 weeks before IUD insertion date

Breastfeeding Cohort
No information about
breastfeeding at time of
IUD insertion

Information on breastfeeding status at time of IUD insertion

 Sucak A, Ozcan S, Celen S, Caglar T, Goksu G, Danisman N. Immediate postplacental insertion of a copper intrauterine device: a pilot study to evaluate expulsion rate by mode of delivery. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2015;15:202.

- 2. Heinemann K, Reed S, Moehner S, Minh TD. Risk of uterine perforation with levonorgestrel-releasing and copper intrauterine devices in the European Active Surveillance Study on Intrauterine Devices. Contraception. 2015;91:274-9.
- 3. Anthony MS, Armstrong MA, Getahun D, Scholes D, Gatz J, Schulze-Rath R, et al. Identification and validation of uterine perforation, intrauterine device expulsion, and breastfeeding in four health care systems with electronic health records. Clin Epidemiol. 2019. In press.

Catherine Saltus, MA, MPH Senior Research Epidemiologist

RTI Health Solutions 307 Waverley Oaks Road, Suite 101 Waltham, MA 02452

Phone: +1.781.434.1709 E-mail: csaltus@rti.org

The power of **knowledge**. The value of **understanding**.

Presented at: 35th International Conference on Pharmacoepidemiology & Therapeutic Risk Management; August 24-28, 2019; Philadelphia, PA