

(S)... Multiple Myeloma and IV Bisphosphonate Are Risk Factors for Osteonecrosis of the Jaw

Patricia Tennis,¹ Kenneth J Rothman,¹ Rhonda Bohn,² Hiangkat Tan,² Mary Anthony,³ Athanosios Zavras,⁴ Brian Calingaert¹

¹RTI Health Solutions, Research Triangle Park, NC, United States; ²HealthCore, Inc., Wilmington, DE, United States; ³Amgen, Inc., Thousand Oaks, CA, United States; ⁴Columbia University, New York, NY, United States

BACKGROUND

Osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) is exposed, necrotic bone in the maxillofacial region lasting longer than 8 weeks.¹ Risk factors include radiation to the head or neck, intravenous (IV) bisphosphonate use, and age. A number of United States (US) claims-based studies have evaluated the incidence of and potential risk factors for ONJ, but very few have validated the outcome.²⁻⁵

OBJECTIVE

To measure the incidence and identify risk factors of ONJ among two cohorts:

- Individuals with breast cancer, prostate cancer, or multiple myeloma
- Individuals with osteopenia or osteoporosis

METHODS

Inclusion Criteria

Study cohorts were identified using a large commercially insured population in the US. Participants met the following criteria:

Exposures

- By definition, ONJ diagnosis can be made only after 8 weeks of exposed bone.
- We assumed at least 6 weeks exposure to bisphosphonate for risk of ONJ.
- Because of the long half lives of bisphosphonates, exposure definitions for time at risk of ONJ were as follows:
- IV bisphosphonate exposure: started 14 weeks after first infusion and continued until end of follow-up
- Oral bisphosphonate exposure: started 14 weeks after first prescription and continued until end of follow-up
- No exposure to bisphosphonates: started at index date and ended
 14 weeks after first IV or oral bisphosphonate exposure.
- Corticosteroid use: sum of the days' supply of corticosteroid prescription fills.

Analysis

- ONJ incidences were estimated as number of ONJ cases divided by total person-years and derived for the following:
 - Unadjusted incidences were stratified by cohort and

Cancer Cohort

 Table 4 shows the characteristics of the cancer cohort, stratified by exposure. Table 5 shows the crude and age-sex-adjusted incidence ratios. Table 6 outlines the results of multiple regression analysis.

Table 4.Cancer Cohort: Percentage of Person-Years, Number of Person-Years, andNumber of Individuals in Each Covariate Stratum, by Exposure Category

Stratification	Unexposed		IV Bisphosphonate Use		Oral Bisphosphonate Use	
Variable	Person-Years	Percentage	Person-Years	Percentage	Person-Years	Percentage
Overall	87,677	100	4,451	100	12,638	100
Males						
40-69 years	23,951	27	986	22	595	5
≥ 70 years	16,382	19	628	14	1,162	9
Females						
40-69 years	38,200	44	2,175	49	7,370	58
≥ 70 years	9,144	10	663	15	3,510	28
Cohort diagnosis						
Breast cancer	46,933	54	2,199	49	10,679	85
Multiple myeloma	1,306	1	1,427	32	268	2

- Complete medical claims
- Complete pharmacy claims
- Plan eligibility dated January 1, 2000, through March 31, 2007
- Claim(s) for a cohort index diagnosis associated with at least one of the following:
- At least two outpatient physician visits
- One overnight emergency department claim
- One inpatient hospital claim
- Enrollment at least 1 year before index diagnosis

Cancer Cohort

Males and females aged \geq 40 years with selected cancers (Table 1)

Osteoporosis Cohort

Women aged \geq 50 years and men \geq 60 years with selected claims (Table 2)

Table 1. ICD-9 Codes for Cancer Cohort Index Diagnosis

Diagnosis Description	ICD-9 Code	
Breast cancer		
Malignant neoplasm of breast	174.xx	
Breast carcinoma in situ	233.0x	
Uncertain neoplasm of breast	238.3x	
Breast neoplasm of uncertain nature	239.3x	
Prostate cancer		
Malignant neoplasm of prostate	185.xx	
Multiple myeloma		
Multiple myeloma, Kahler's disease, myelomatosis	203.0x	

ICD-9 = International Classification of Diseases, 9th edition.

Table 2. ICD-9 Codes for Osteoporosis Cohort Index Diagnosis

Diagnosis Description	ICD-9 Code
Osteoporosis	733.0x
Disorder of bone and cartilage, unspecified	733.90
Vertebrae fracture	733.13, 805.xx
Hip fracture	733.14, 820.xx
Wrist fracture	733.12, 813.xx, 814.xx

ONJ Cases

bisphosphonate exposure and weighted for cohort-specific abstraction percentages. Minimum incidence was based on probable ONJ cases, and maximum incidence was based on probable and possible ONJ cases.

- Sex and age-adjusted incidences were stratified by cohort and bisphosphonate exposure, and were adjusted to person-year distribution of all exposure time by sex and age.
- To model risk factors for ONJ and adjust for variables that could change over time, we included all abstracted probable plus possible cases in a logistic regression model by modeling each 30-day follow-up period of person-time as a separate observation and accounting for the correlation between periods contributed by the same patient.⁶

RESULTS

Crude Incidence of ONJ (Figures 1 and 2)

Figure 1. Potential ONJ Cases in the Cancer Cohort



Figure 2. Potential ONJ Cases in the Osteoporosis Cohort



Prostate cancer	39,439	45	825	19	1,691	13		
Cumulative corticosteroid use								
< 90 days	85,772	98	3,322	75	11,715	93		
≥ 90 days	1,903	2	1,129	25	923	7		

Table 5.Cancer Cohort: Crude and Age-Sex-Adjusted Incidence Ratios for Comparisonof Each Bisphosphonate Exposure Category With the Unexposed Group, by Diagnosis,Duration of Bisphosphonate Use, and Duration of Corticosteroid Use

Stratification	IV Bisphosphon	ate Use	Oral Bisphosphonate Use		
Variable	BPN IR _{crude} (95% CI)	BPN IR _{adj} ª	BPN IR _{crude} (95% CI)	BPN IR _{adj} ª	
Overall	29.5 (13.3-65.8)	20.2	1.4 (0.30-6.3)	1.1	
Diagnosis					
Breast cancer	17.1 (4.6-63.6)	11.7	0.88 (0.10-7.5)	0.70	
Multiple myeloma	3.1 (0.84-11.1)	3.1	1.6 (0.17-16)	1.1	
Prostate cancer	23.9 (2.2-264)	28.5	0.00 (0.00-124)	0.00	
Duration of bisphosphonate use					
< 2 years	22.5 (9.4-54.1)	14.2	0.90 (0.11-7.0)	0.69	
≥ 2 years	78.5 (26.8-230)	60.9	3.1 (0.39-24)	1.8	
Corticosteroid use					
< 90 days	< 90 days 20.1 (7.5-53.9) 13.2		0.81 (0.10-6.4) 0.58		
≥ 90 days	13.5 (1.7-108)	27.1	2.1 (0.13-33)	4.0	

adj = adjusted; BPN = bisphosphonate; CI = confidence interval; IR = incidence ratio.

^a Standardized for age (< 70 years vs. \ge 70 years) and sex.

Table 6.Cancer Cohort: Results of Multiple Regression Analysis Evaluating the Associationof Bisphosphonate Exposure With Incident ONJ

Variable	Adjusted Rate Ratio (95% CI)		
Bisphosphonate exposure			
None	1.0		
Oral	0.6 (0.08-5.0)		
IV	8.3 (2.0-34)		
Cancer cohort diagnosis			
Breast cancer	1.0		
Multiple myeloma	4.5 (0.7-28)		
Prostate cancer	0.4 (0.06-2.3)		
Sex			
Male	1.0		
Female	0.8 (0.3-2.4)		
Corticosteroid use			
< 90 days	1.0		
≥ 90 days or more	1.9 (0.7-5.6)		
Age (per additional 5 years)	0.98 (0.8-1.2)		

Note: Adjustment was simultaneous for all listed variables.

Osteoporosis Cohort

- Table 7 presents the characteristics of the osteoporosis cohort. Numbers of probable or possible ONJ cases were too small for stratified analysis or modeling.
- Overall, 44% of IV-bisphosphonate-exposed patients had regimens consistent with labeling for osteoporosis or off-label guidances for osteoporosis, and 56% were

- We identified potential ONJ cases diagnosed after the index date with the following codes:
- ICD-9 diagnosis codes: 522.7, 526.4, 526.5, 526.89
- CPT codes: 21035, 21045, 21047, 21127, 21210, 21215, 41800, 41830, 42120, 21081, 21193.
- We excluded all potential ONJ cases with history of radiation to the head or neck.
- We abstracted medical records for medical services that occurred within 6 months of first potential ONJ claim date.
- Two clinicians blinded to bisphosphonate exposure independently reviewed the results of abstraction and classified each potential ONJ case as:
- Probable ONJ
- Possible ONJ
- Not ONJ.
- Differences between the two reviewers were discussed and resolved.
- All probable ONJ cases and possible ONJ cases were included in analyses.



Table 3.Crude Incidence, Adjusted for Abstraction Percentage, of ONJin Each Cohort, by Bisphosphonate Use

	Cance	r Cohort (N	= 46,452)	Osteoporosis Cohort (N = 33,857)			
Exposure Category	Range in Confirmed Casesª	Person- Years	Range of Incidence ^b of ONJ cases per 1,000 Person-Years	Range in Confirmed Casesª	Person- Years	Range of Incidence ^b of ONJ cases per 1,000 Person-Years	
Unexposed	2-10	87,677	0.045-0.22	4-9	42,358	0.13-0.30	
Oral bisphos- phonate use	2-2	12,638	0.31-0.31	1-2	18,657	0.075-0.15	
IV bisphos- phonate use	11-15	4,451	4.8-6.6	2-2	512	5.5-5.5	

IV = intravenous

- Note: Estimates are adjusted to account for potential cases missed due to lack of access to medical chart review.
- ^a Range is expressed as observed probable cases to probable plus possible cases; includes one case exposed to both oral and IV bisphosphonate in the cancer cohort.

^b Incidence adjusted for abstraction percentage.

CONCLUSIONS

- In the cancer cohort, there was no evidence to suggest that oral bisphosphonate use was associated with increased risk of ONJ compared with nonuse. Oral bisphosphonate use was likely for concomitant osteoporosis as there were no approved indications in cancer for any oral bisphosphonates during the study period.
- In the cancer cohort, after controlling for age, sex, types of bisphosphonate exposure, and corticosteroid use:
- Multiple myeloma was associated with an incidence ratio of 4.5 compared with breast cancer.
- Corticosteroid use for more than 90 days was associated with an incidence ratio of 1.9.
- There are a number of hypotheses about the causes of the increased risk of ONJ among multiple myeloma patients:
- Changes in bone associated with multiple myeloma
- Differential ascertainment of ONJ by treating physicians
- Potential bias by reviewing physicians who also reviewed the medical claims.
- ONJ incidence ratios for IV bisphosphonate use compared with nonuse was comparable with that reported in the literature.^{3,5,7} However, published clinical studies have not estimated absolute incidences and frequency measures from them cannot be directly compared with these results.

REFERENCES

- Ruggiero SL, Dodson TB, Assael, LA, Landesberg R, Marx RE, Mehrotra B. 2009. American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons Position Paper on Bisphosphonate – Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw-2009 Update. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009; 67:2012, Suppl 1.
- 2. Zavras A, Zhu S. Bisphosphonates are associated with increased risk for jaw surgery in medical claims data: Is it osteonecrosis? J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2006;64:917-23.
- Cartsos VM, Zhu S, Zavras AI. Bisphosphonate use and the risk of adverse jaw outcomes: A medical claims study of 714,217 people. J Am Dent Assoc 2008;139(1):23-30.
- Patzianas M, Blumentals W, Miller P. Lack of association between oral bisphophonates and osteonecrosis of the jaw using jaw surgery as a surrogate. Osteoporos Int. 2008 Jun;19(6):773-9.
- 5. Wilkinson GS, Kuo YF, Freeman JL, Goodwin JS. Intravenous bisphosphonate therapy and inflammatory conditions or surgery of the jaw: a population-based analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst 2007;99(13):1016-24.
- 6. Liang KY, Zeger SL. Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. Biometrika 1986;73:13 22.
- Bamias A, Kastritis E, Bamia C, Moulopoulos LA, Melakopoulos I, Bozas G, et al., Osteonecrosis of the jaw in cancer after treatment with bisphosphonates: incidence and risk factors. J Clin Oncol 2005;23(34):8580-7.

consistent with dosing for treatment of malignancy sequelae.

Table 7.Osteoporosis Cohort: Percentage of Person-Years, Number of Person-Years, andNumber of Individuals in Each Covariate Stratum, by Exposure Category

Stratification	Unexposed		IV Bisphosphonate Use		Oral Bisphosphonate Use		
Variable	Person-Years	Percentage	Person-Years	Percentage	Person-Years	Percentage	
Overall	42,358	100	512	100	18,657	100	
Males							
40-69 years	2,505	6	33	7	315	2	
≥ 70 years	4,417	10	75	15	990	5	
Females							
40-69 years	17,066	40	181	35	8,223	44	
≥ 70 years	18,370	43	223	44 ª	9,129	49	
Cohort diagnosis	;						
Fracture	23,300	55	198	39	5,750	31	
Osteoporosis	18,156	43	305	60	12,330	66	
Osteoporosis/ Fracture	902	2	9	2	577	3	
Cumulative corticosteroid use							
< 90 days	40,925	97	427	83	16,652	89	
≥ 90 days	1,430	3	85	17	2,005	11	

DISCLOSURE

This project was funded by Amgen. One co-author (MA) is an employee of Amgen, and all content was agreed by consensus of co-authors.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Patricia Tennis, PhD, MPH Senior Director, Epidemiology

RTI Health Solutions 200 Park Offices Drive Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Phone: +1. 919.316.3812 Fax: +1.919.541.7222 E-mail: ptennis@rti.org

Presented at: 26th International Conference on Pharmacoepidemiology & Therapeutic Risk Management

August 19-22, 2010

Brighton, England, UK