

Cost-Effectiveness of Darunavir for the Management of HIV-Infected, Treatment-Experienced Adults in Canada

Abstract **OBJECTIVES**

Darunavir (TMC114: DRV) is a novel protease inhibitor (PI) with demonstrated superior efficacy to currently available PIs for the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in treatment-experienced adults who have failed prior antiretroviral therapy. We evaluated the cost-effectiveness of ritonavir-boosted DRV (DRV/r) plus an optimized background regimen (OBR) compared to currently available PIs plus OBR (control), from a Canadian provincial Ministry of Health perspective.

METHODS

A Markov model with 3-month cycles was developed to follow patients through six possible health states defined by CD4+ cell-count ranges. Costs (in 2006 Canadian dollars) were assumed to accrue based on estimates of health care services used during each health state. Each health state also had an associated utility value. Cost, utility, and mortality data were estimated from published Canadian sources. Transition probabilities were calculated from clinical trials. Both costs and outcomes were discounted at 5% per year. Two analyses were conducted: 1) incremental cost per additional person with viral load <50 copies/ mL at 48 weeks; 2) incremental lifetime cost per quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) gained. Extensive sensitivity analysis and variability (assessing the impact of practice patterns, population and model characteristics) analyses were performed.

RESULTS

In clinical trials, DRV/r is associated with a 36% absolute increase in probability of achieving viral load <50 copies/mL at 48 weeks and a gain of 1.27 QALYs over a lifetime. The incremental cost per additional person with viral load <50 copies/mL was \$9.897: the incremental cost per OALY gained was \$30,907. Sensitivity and variability analyses showed results were robust. For most of the credible uncertainty ranges, the cost-effectiveness ratio remained <\$50,000 per QALY gained. Variability analyses showed cost-effectiveness ratios ranged from \$23,283 to \$34,135, depending most heavily on the assumed amount of tipranavir use in the model control arm and of enfuvirtide use in the OBR.

CONCLUSION

When compared to current standard of care, DRV/r plus OBR is cost effective in treatment experienced adults who have failed prior antiretroviral therapy.

BACKGROUND

- The introduction of protease inhibitors (PIs) in the mid-1990s represented a major advance in the treatment of HIV infection. It has resulted in sustained viral suppression, improved immunologic function, and marked reduction in morbidity and mortality rates.
- However, current treatment with PIs is limited by factors such as adverse effects, drug interactions, and the development of resistance.
- Darunavir (Prezista[®], TMC114) is a novel PI with demonstrated superior efficacy to currently available PIs for the treatment of HIV infection in treatment-experienced adults who have failed prior antiretroviral therapy.
- An understanding of the value for money of darunavir compared to currently available PIs is required by health care decision makers to identify darunavir's appropriate place in therapy.

OBJECTIVE

To evaluate the cost-effectiveness, from a Canadian provincial Ministry of Health perspective, of ritonavir-boosted darunavir (darunavir/r) plus an optimized background regimen (OBR) compared to currently available PIs plus OBR.

The population of interest for this analysis is people with HIV infection who have previously failed antiretroviral therapy and who are starting a new, multi-drug antiretroviral regimen that includes PIs plus an OBR made up of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors with or without enfuvirtide

Figure 1 Model Treatment Pathways

BB = ontimized background regime

atment failure defined as a decline in CD4+ cell count. Switch may

Switch

Ritonavir 200 mg bi

Initial

METHODS

- Model Treatment Pathways
- Figure 1 illustrates the treatment pathways compared in this economic evaluation.
- After starting each new treatment regimen, the model allowed three sequential stages of CD4+ cell-count change:
- Period of rapidly increasing CD4+ cell count,
- Period of slowly increasing or stable CD4+ cell count and
- Period of declining CD4+
- cell count until switch to new therapy regimen or death.

Markov Model Structure and Input Parameters

- A Markov model with a 3-month cycle period was developed to follow a treatment-experienced HIV cohort through six possible health states, defined by CD4+ cell-count ranges (0-50, 51-100, 101-200, 201-350, 351-500, and >500 cells/mm³), and eventually to the death state.
- Transition probabilities between the Markov model health states were calculated from the POWER 1 and POWER 2 clinical trial results for the darunavir/r and control regimens and from the RESIST 1 and RESIST 2 clinical trial results for the tipranavir/r switch regimen and from other published sources.
- Clinical trial data used to compute the transition probabilities included the proportion of individuals with different levels of virologic response to treatment at 24 weeks and the changes in CD4+ cell count at 24 and 48 weeks associated with the different virologic response groups for each treatment option (Tables 1-3).
- Antiretroviral drug costs were based on usage rates in the clinical trials, and the mean daily cost for each drug was computed using the recommended dose in United States Department of Health and Human Services guidelines. Unit costs were obtained from the Ontario and Quebec formularies. The total daily cost of PIs is \$30.52 for the darunavir/r regimen and \$38.36 for the tipranavir/r regimen
- Other costs and utility data were estimated based on published Canadian sources. HIV-related and non--HIV-related mortality were taken from published studies and Canadian national statistics, respectively (Table 4).
- All costs were estimated in 2006 Canadian dollars, and both costs and outcomes were discounted at 5%.
- Extensive sensitivity and variability analyses were performed to test the robustness of the cost effectiveness results

Table 1. Virologic Response Rates at 24 Weeks

Treatment Regimen	<50 Copies/mL	≥1 Log ₁₀ Drop, >50 Copies/mL	<1 Log ₁₀ Drop	
Darunavir/r	45.0%	25.2%	29.8%	
Control	12.1%	8.9%	79.0%	
Tipranavir/r	23.9%	17.3%	58.8%	

Table 2. Estimated 3-Month Initial Increase in CD4+ Cell Count by 24-Week Virologic Response: Initial and Switch Regimens

Treatment Regimen	<50 Copies/mL Mean (SD)	≥1 Log ₁₀ Drop, >50 Copies/mL Mean (SD)	<1 Log ₁₀ Drop Mean (SD)
Darunavir/r	54.19 (55.94)	73.76 (73.10)	24.38 (50.77)
Control	26.69 (53.52)	32.18 (39.93)	4.22 (54.83)
Tipranavir/r*	24.76 (25.56)	33.70 (33.41)	11.14 (23.21)
*The CD4+ cell count increases by 24-week CD4+ cell-count increase and the proportio observed for the darunavir/r arm of the POV	n of trial participants in each viro	logic response category, assumin	

Sources: Pooled data from POWER 1 and POWER 2 clinical trials, and data from RESIST trials presented in Katlama et al., 2006; Cahn et al., 2004; and Hicks et al., 2004.

Table 3. Durations of CD4+ Cell-Count Changes by 24-Week Virologic Response: Initial and Switch Re

initial and Switch Regimens						
	<50 Copies/mL	≥1 Log ₁₀ Drop, >50 Copies/mL	<1 Log ₁₀ Drop			
1. Rapid CD4+ cell-count increase						
Darunavir/r	0.5 years	0.5 years	0.5 years			
Control	1 year	0.5 years	0.5 years			
Tipranavir/r	1 year	0.5 years	0.5 years			
2. Stable or slowly increasing CD4+ cell count						
Darunavir/r	2 years	0.5 years	0 years			
Control	1.5 years	0.5 years	0 years			
Tipranavir/r	1.5 years	0.5 years 0 years				
3. Declining CD4+ cell count before switching or stopping regimen						
Darunavir/r	3 years	3 years	1 year			
Control	3 years	3 years	1 year			
Tipranavir/r	Remaining lifetime	Remaining lifetime	Remaining lifetime			

Sources: Janssen-Urtho Inc data on file, 2 Deeks et al. 2002: Ledernerber et al. 2004

Table 4. Utility Values, HIV-Related Mortality Rates, and Annual Costs for Resources Other Than ARV Drugs, by CD4+ Cell-Count Range

CD4+ Cell-Count Range (Cells/mm3)	Utility Value	Annual Risk of HIV-Related Death (%)	Annual Costs
>500	0.95	0.4%	\$2,779
351 – 500	0.93	0.4%	\$3,291
201 – 350	0.93	0.8%	\$4,242
101 - 200	0.85	2.2%	\$6,327
50 - 100	0.85	5.5%	\$6,327
<50	0.78	17.6%	\$14,138

emergency department resources and medications other than ARV drugs: McMurchy et al., 2003; annual costs for inpatient, outpatient, and dollars using inflation rates from Statistics Canada 2006

RESULTS

Table 5. One-Year Cost-Effectiveness Analysis for Darunavir/r Compared to the Control (Standard of Care) Regimen

Outcome Measure	Darunavir/r	Control	Difference
One-year cost	\$37,190	\$33,627	\$3,563
Probability of viral load <50 copies/mL at 48 weeks	0.46	0.10	0.36
Incremental cost per additional person with a viral l	\$9,897		

Table 6. Lifetime Cost-Utility Analysis of Darunavir/r Compared to Control: Base Case, Discounted at 5%

Outcome Measure	Darunavir/r	Control	Difference
Life-years	9.02	7.77	1.26
QALYs	8.05	6.78	1.27
Lifetime costs	\$296,970	\$257,716	\$39,254
Incremental cost per QALY gained			

Sensitivity and Variability Analyses

Results were robust to changes in input parameter values and treatment scenarios (Figure 2, Table 7).

For all ranges tested in the sensitivity analysis, the incremental cost per QALY gained remained below \$50,000 (Figure 2).

Figure 2. One-Way Sensitivity Analysis: Tornado Diagran

Duration of slowly increasing CD4+ Ret of deciming CD4+ (I) cality, -7.2 cality), UBIN value, (Rinkin, Readrough) CD4 CD4 cality cality, (Rinkin, Readrough) CD4 CD4 cality, (Rinkin, Readrough) CD4 CD4 cality, (Rinkin, Readrough) CD4 cality, (Rinkin, Rinkin, Rinkin, Rinkin, Rinkin, Rinkin, Cartolin, eman pdd CD4+ nonzes (+1-18); H14-stelated mortality (Rink, Ladergather) Cartolin, et al. Antering is Reg (apt (SRA)) Cast of subsequent regimen (20%, 40%) Exhi naturation of deciming CD4+ horses subtri- Datamavitri, it achieving is Reg (apt (SRA)) Both initial regimens, mean pdd CD4+ nonzes (+1-18); RR of non-H14-stelated mortality (16.42); ERRay of stark horses (+1-18); RR of non-H14-stelated mortality (16.42); ERRay of non-H14-stelated mortalit		Duration of slowly increasing CD4+ cell count: Run 1 = 0 years; and Run 2 = 5 years for <50 copies/ml group, 2 years for <50 copies/ml group, 2 years for <10 log, drop group. Duration of declining CD4+ cell count before service: Run 1 = 6 months for al log, drop group; and group; and group <10 kg, drop group; and group <10 kg, drop group; and group <10 kg, drop group; and group for <1 log, drop group; and group for <1 log, drop group.
Sensitivity Run 1 \$2	6/000 \$28/000 \$30/000 \$32/000 \$34/000 \$36/000 Incremental Cost per QALY Gained	

Table 7. Results of Variability Analyses

Scenarios	QALYs		Total Costs		Incremental Cost per QALY
	Darunavir/r	Control	Darunavir/r	Control	Gained
Base case ¹	8.05	6.78	\$296,972	\$257,717	\$30,907
Time horizon					
5 years	3.62	3.39	\$135,663	\$127,600	\$34,135
10 years	5.90	5.31	\$216,106	\$198,693	\$29,320
British Columbia population	age, gender ar	nd CD4+ distrib	outions ²		
British Columbia population	8.17	6.90	\$300,574	\$261,551	\$30,708
Tipranavir use in first control	regimen (swit	ch regimen is	POWER 1 and	POWER 2 cor	ntrol regimen)
0%	7.83	6.52	\$263,676	\$223,103	\$30,927
20%	7.83	6.58	\$263,676	\$226,387	\$29,733
50%	7.83	6.66	\$263,676	\$231,314	\$27,719
100%	7.83	6.81	\$263,676	\$239,526	\$23,604
British Columbia rate (22.2%)	7.83	6.58	\$263,676	\$226,749	\$29,594
Enfuvirtide use in first daruna	avir/r and cont	rol regimens			
0%	7.99	6.74	\$274,684	\$245,621	\$23,283
20%	8.01	6.76	\$284,415	\$251,313	\$26,350
40%	8.04	6.77	\$294,148	\$257,145	\$29,267
60%	8.06	6.79	\$303,883	\$263,118	\$32,038
British Columbia rate (31.25%)	8.03	6.77	\$289,890	\$254,576	\$28,009
The base-case values for the variables changed in the scenario analysis are as follows: time horizon lifetime, gender distribution: 36, 37, 58, 464, 7065, 56, 29%, starting (OH e-oil-count distribution: -90, 23, 1%, 21, 3%, 101-200, 23%), 114, 36					
'British Columbia population based on analysis of British Columbia Centre for Excellence data. Gender distribution: 91 4% male. 86% female. Age distribution: 0.39 = 32.4%; 40.64 = 65.7%; >65 = 1.9%. CD4+ cell count distribution: 0.50 = 21.0%; 51-100 = 14.3%; 101-200 = 22.9%; 201-350 = 26.7%; 551-00 = -2.9%; 201-350 = 26.7%; 201-350 = 26.7%; 201-350 = 27.9\%; 201-350 = 27.9\%; 201-350 = 27.9\%; 201-350 = 27.9\%; 201-350 = 27.9\%; 201-350 = 27.9\%;					

J Mauskopf,¹ A Brogan,¹ C Malmberg,² P Hwang² ¹RTI Health Solutions, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA; ²Janssen-Ortho Inc., Toronto, ON, Canada

CONCLUSIONS

- When compared to current Pls, darunavir/r in combination with an OBR is cost effective in treatment-experienced adults who have failed prior antiretroviral therapy.
- The model results were most influenced by assumptions about duration of efficacy rate of decline in CD4+ cell count after virologic failure, utility values, and other medical care costs in each CD4+ cell-count range.
- Variations in practice patterns and population and model characteristics also influenced the results of the model.
- Nevertheless, darunavir/r remained cost effective compared to standard of care over all the parameter ranges and variability factors tested.

REFERENCES

Cabo P. et al. Abstract No. PI 14.3. 7th ICDTHI: Nov 14-18. 2004: Glasgow, UK. Cook J, et al. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 1999;15(6):499-508. Cooper D, et al. Abstract No. 561. 12th CROI; Feb 22-25, 2005; Boston, MA. ta on file. Results of POWER 1 and POWER 2 Clinical Trials. 2006. Deeks SG. et al. AIDS 2002: 16:201-7. DHHS Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents 2006 http:// AIDSinfo.nih.gov. Dodds C. et al. www.opiatlantic.org/pdf/health/costofaids.pdf Garcia F, et al. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2004;36(2):702-13. licks. et al. Abstract No. 3726. 44th ICAAC: Oct 31-Nov 2. 2004: Washington, DC Hunt PW, et al. AIDS 2003; 17(13):1907-15. Jensen-Fangel S. et al. AIDS 2004: 18(1):89-97. Katlama C. et al. Abstract No. 520, 13th CROI: Feb 6-9, 2006; Denver, CO. Kaufmann GR. et al. Arch Intern Med 2003: 163(18):2187-95. King JT Jr, et al. Med Decis Making 2003; 23(1):9-20. Krentz HB, et al. CMAJ 2003; 169(2):106-10. Ledergerber B, et al. The Lancet 2004; 364(9428):51-62 McMurchy D et al. AIDS Care. Ottawa: Health Canada; 1998. Mocroft & et al / ancet 2003: 362 (9377):22-29 Ontario Drug Benefit Program Formulary, 2006, http://www.health.gov.on.ca/english/ providers/program/drugs/odbf_mn.htm Paltiel AD, et al. Med Dec Mak 1998; 18(2 Suppl):S93-105. Quebec Formulary. 2006. http://www.ramq.gouv.qc.ca/fr/professionnels/listmed/lm_ tdmf.shtml. isebrough N, et al. Abstract No. 103. 6th CROI; Jan 31-Feb 4, 1999; Chicago, IL. Simpson KN, et al, HIV Clin Trials 2004; 5(5):294-304. Smith C.J. et al. AIDS 2003: 17(7):963-9 Statistics Canada. The Consumer Price Index. June 2006. http://www.statcan.ca/bsolc/ anglish/bsolc?catno=62-001-X&CHROPG=1. Statistics Canada. Deaths, 2003. Ottawa: Minster of Industry; 2005. Catalogue No. 84F0211XIE. http://www.statcan.ca/cgi-bin/downpub/listpub.cgi? catno=84F0211XIE200300. Tarwater PM, et al. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2001; 27(2):168-75.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Jo Mauskopf

PhD, VP, Health Economics **RTI Health Solutions** 3040 Cornwallis Road, PO Box 12194 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194 Phone: 919-541-6996

Fax: 919-541-7222

E-mail: imauskopf@rti.org

Presented at: 12th Annual International Meeting Arlington, VA, USA May 19-23, 2007.